

President's Corner 會長的話

BETTERMENT OF THE CITY

Our HKIUD Conference on April 22nd was attended by some 270 members, with a long waiting list for places.

The conference was on 'Betterment of the City' – primarily Hong Kong, but speakers attended from several countries as well as the Hong Kong SAR, and we learned much from their experience and wisdom. In her Opening Remarks Mrs Carrie Lam made mention of the importance attached to low carbon environments, and a territorial strategy for sustainable growth to meet community aspirations in addition to new growth areas. She also stated that we need to explore solutions for shaping the city.

In Hong Kong we have achieved an enormous amount over the past 40 years. We have accommodated several million people in new housing, planned and constructed nine new towns, and built one of the best rail systems in the world - among many other things. But in having to carefully manage our city, like many modern cities, we too often over-engineer and in some cases perhaps over regulate.

The notion that cities should be designed rather than merely zoned or engineered is central to good urbanism and the efficacy of urban design in achieving real city betterment. Streets are not merely to optimise auto capacity and speed, but to enhance walkability, pedestrian connectivity, stimulation and safety; channelizing streams and rivers requires recognition of recreational, ecological and aesthetic values; urban places must fulfil social needs but also underscore local identity, establish casual meeting points, diversity and a sense of memory; we need urban renewal that is regenerative in both form and spirit; and we must ensure that our waterfronts, including of course Hong Kongs central harbourfront, become a real resource for the city.

Above all we need to recognise that the city is not a machine for living but a civic framework for the community.

When we look at some of the great cities that have been with us, sometimes for hundreds of years, we sometimes scratch our heads and wonder how they got built with such wondrous features, or at least how they managed to adapt to the modern age – and we often tend to use the word ‘organic’ – or perhaps we should say misuse the word, because for most things we observe in cities there is a reason. And as we have turned away, in most world cities over the past 20-30 years, from the stark principles of Modernism to Post Modernism and New Urbanism, I notice increasing support across a wide front for looking not just forwards, but to historical examples for inspiration and ideas in city planning and design. Urbanists have recognised that there is a need to make cities more human and geared to the community, but imbued with increased densities and compactness. This is likely to be the future of smart cities everywhere – cities that can be used by everyone. There is in fact a rising interest in living in or near places that are rich in social interaction, and Hong Kong is certainly one of those cities.

Most of us, as practicing professionals, have grown up with the modernist principles of specialisation, standardisation and mass production. And we have seen each aspect of city design become progressively isolated and specialised – architects, planners, civil engineers, traffic engineers, environmental scientists, economists, landscape designers, surveyors – and most of these are now matched by parallel departments in government. This means that things might well work in a functional way, and Hong Kong is unarguably a well managed city, but cities need more than a “one size fits all” mentality that can quite easily lead to the homogenisation of our community. And that is where urban design comes in. It is why our urban design members are drawn from a wide area of disciplines – professionals from many backgrounds who wish to achieve the objectives that we have reiterated in the back of the Conference Brochure – to apply the principles of diversity, conservation and the human scale, and to ensure as far as possible that communities are mixed, inclusive and integrated.

The Urban Design discipline is not just there to promote urban design excellence, but to assist with education and research; to create a platform for information sharing on urban issues; to strengthen communication between all participants in the planning and development process; to promote the community’s awareness of urban design values; and to provide advice as and where it is needed. And I hope our Conference has introduced ideas on how we can bring this about to best effect.

Some of our speakers came a long way to be with us, in particular our first keynote speaker Sir Terry Farrell, who we were delighted to welcome as someone who embodies so many of the urban design attributes and activities I have just been mentioning – architect, planner, urban thinker and government adviser; and Rocco Yim from Hong Kong, one of the most thoughtful commentators on Hong Kong urbanism. And of course we had some excellent presentations from all our other speakers, including extended comments from our moderators and panellists.

I would like to thank Mrs Carrie Lam, Chief Secretary of the HKSAR Government for finding time in a very

busy schedule to introduce our Conference. In her previous role as Secretary for Development, Carrie was extremely encouraging and pro-active in the formation of our Institute for Urban Design. In fact the Development Bureau was the major sponsor of our first conference in 2012. It perhaps shows how far we have come in a relatively short space of time, when I can say that this time we did not have to turn to Government for funding – it has all come most generously from private sources, and of course the Hong Kong Jockey Club. I would therefore finally like to thank them, and our other sponsors : Henderson Land Group; Hong Kong Land; Nan Fung Group; the Real Estate Developers Association of Hong Kong; the Chinachem Group; Hong Kong International Airport Authority; Ronald Lu and Partners; and Urbis Limited. Without them the Conference would not have been such a success.

PETER COOKSON SMITH

President

April 28th, 2016

Recent Events and Meetings / 近期活動及會議

HKIUD Urban Design Conference 2016 : Betterment of the City



The HKIUD Urban Design Conference 2016: Betterment of the City was successfully held on 22 April 2016 at the Hong Kong Jockey Club with more than 260 participants.



Welcome Remarks by Dr Peter Cookson Smith, President of Hong Kong Institute of Urban Design



Opening Remarks by Mrs LAM CHENG Yuet Ngor, Carrie, GBS, JP, Chief Secretary for Administration
HKSARG



Opening Address and Keynote by Sir Terry Farrell CBE, Principal of Farrells
Presentation Topic: Promoting Design Quality – PLACE Reviews



Keynote by Dr Rocco YIM, Executive Director of Rocco Design Architects Ltd
Presentation Topic: Of Dreams and Reality



Panel Response

Respondent and Moderator : Professor Bernard LIM Wan-fung JP, Immediate Past President of Hong Kong Institute of Urban Design

Panel Members : Mr Laurence Liauw/ Mr Sion Edwards/Sir Terry Farrell CBE/ Mr Rocco S K Yim



Mr Martin Joseph Barry, Founder & Chairman of reSITE

Presentation Topic: reSITE: Collaborative Ideas for Livable, Breathable Cities



Mr Christopher Kin Chung Law, JP, Founding Director of The Oval Partnership Limited

Presentation Topic: Place Making -The Hong Kong Stories



Panel Response

Respondent and Moderator : Mr Vincent Ng, President of The Hong Kong Institute of Architects

Panel Members : Dr Jianxiang Huang/ Dr. Francisco Vizeu Pinheiro/ Mr Martin Joseph Barry/ Mr Christopher Kin Chung Law,JP



Professor Zhu Wenyi, Dean, School of Architecture of Tsinghua University

Presentation Topic: Place Marking



Dr Stanley Yip, Professor of School of Urban Planning and Design, Peking University

Presentation Topic: Urban Regeneration : Towards a Low Carbon Approach in Chinese Cities



Mr Ian Brownlee, Managing Director of Masterplan Limited

Presentation Topic: Its not about design, its about changing peoples behavior



Panel Response

Respondent and Moderator : Prof. John NG Cheuk-ye, Director of The Hong Kong Green Building Council

Panel Members : Prof Mee Kam Ng/ Ms Canny Ma/ Professor Zhu Wenyi/ Dr Stanley Yip/ Mr Ian Brownlee



Dr Kim Dovey, Professor of Architecture & Urban Design of Melbourne School of Design, University of Melbourne

Presentation Topic: Transforming Melbourne's Urban Waterfront



Mr Lee Siang Tai, Vice Chairman, World Green Building Council Honorary Advisor of Singapore Green Building Council Group

Presentation Topic: Love our Cities



Mr Duncan Pescod, GBS, JP, Chief Executive Officer of West Kowloon Cultural District Authority

Presentation Topic: West Kowloon Cultural District : Place Making in the City



Moderator and Panel Discussion

Respondent and Moderator : Mr Stefan Krummeck, Director of Farrells

Panel Members : Mr Donald Choi / Ms Casey Wang/Mr Kim Dovey/ Mr Lee Siang Tai/ Mr Duncan Pescod

HKIUD CONFERENCE : BETTERING THE CITY

Dr Peter Cookson Smith closed the Conference by summarising the event from notes taken during the day. These are set out below:

First the Keynote Papers

In realising Betterment Initiatives **Sir Terry Farrell** hit the nail right on the head in his UK national review of architecture and the built environment – in particular the latter, because it concerns the public realm where we should be promoting high standards of design. However we cannot abrogate our responsibilities to the quality of the environment in general, and I think this is the key message – sustainable environment embraces green infrastructure, public transport, and most certainly place making. The potential relevance to Hong Kong is less to do with promoting architecture than in helping the built environment create public benefit with a focus on the city. In this respect good design is indivisible from good planning. We do need an Urban Design Review in Hong Kong that will carry weight, and we also need a champion to raise our overall standards. Too much of our public realm is the result of miscellaneous standards, building codes, zoning conditions and ordinances which give us highly functional value engineering without necessary place-making potential.

Terry gave us a very comprehensive account of what we need in HK. The Farrell Review covers many aspects, including education and online resources in schools; a common foundation year for built environment students; PLACE is fundamental to urban design and is made up of **P**lanning, **L**andscape, **A**rchitecture, **C**onservation and **E**ngineering – or should this be ‘Environment’.

Decision makers should receive training in design literacy. Every town and city should have an Urban Room or even Virtual Urban Rooms. We need someone to ‘put the pieces together’ – i.e. a Champion who can look at things comprehensively and make overriding decisions.

Rocco Yim in effect echoes this dilemma. As he states, we can both love and feel repelled by the city at one and the same time. We respect the constraints on development and are concerned about standard of living expectations. Ultimately we need to be even-minded but we lack a grand vision through which to determine urban betterment. What we too often end up with is an unhappy compromise that tries to keep all parties happy, even the conflicting ones, so

one way or another we have to make clear choices.

Dreams must be anchored in reality. We need to remind ourselves of what we like and want, and what we don't.

Rocco poses a very relevant question – how do we move forward?

- Building height – this is inevitable but needs to be checked in relation to cityscape intelligent distribution of height and density;
- Open space – we need better quality not merely quantity;
- Connectivity – this needs to apply in both spatial and visual - inter-related and interactive;
- Heritage – we need to talk about energising – creative interventions of the new with the old;
- Architecture contributes to ambience of a place and we need more than one typology. We need new laws that give us more innovative design; and
- And we urgently need a review of the Buildings Ordinance.

We need always to bear in mind that urbanism must be greater than the sum of the parts.

Laurence Liauw reinforced the need for urban design 'Champions', and to examine 'soft' rather than 'hard' issues.

Interesting Questions were raised as to what is at stake if we do not meet opportunities such as connectivity with PRD, urban regeneration, harbourfront authority, liveability and sustainability – a lot of food for thought there.

Sion Edwards reinforced this by stating that we need to manage change and drive forward at many levels, and cited Jane Jacobs 'that cities do not serve the people unless they are created by people'.

Session One was on Realising Betterment Initiatives

Martin Barry founder of reSite struck much the same message of collaboration but in a somewhat different sense in discussing the role that civic activists are playing in Central and Eastern Europe in making city environments, using the principles of landscape urbanism. Resite has grown into a collaborative platform of partners and experts working with city leaders to best shape more resilient, liveable and competitive cities. The central message was that we can extend our understanding of city problems and emerging issues through more focussed

initiatives.

The focus should be on Leadership, Vision, Education and Transparency which act as catalysts for cities that are resilient, focussed on urban design and public space. There is an emphasis on developing a network – it reminded me that the Congress for the New Urbanism in the USA has done something equally progressive on a slightly different level over the past 20 years by energising so many concerned urban design professionals from different disciplines which is actively changing the direction of residential development in USA. Martin also emphasised on Research Advisory; Online Media; Events and Competition; and trying to achieve an international audience.

I particularly liked the notion of hubs of activity and Martin referred to the writing of Saskia Sassen, probably a latter-day Jane Jacobs. I shared a stage with Saskia of the Salzburg Global Seminar on Urbanisation in 2013 and she was in Hong Kong talking very sensibly at the Nobel Conference a year ago on global warming.

Chris Law extended ideas on community participation on the basis that the public is the city's greatest creative asset, and discussed three participatory initiatives and research programmes based on inherent community creativity in shaping innovative and regenerative proposals for parts of Hong Kong's urban area.

He also came up with a great title - The Hong Kong Urban Genius. I also liked the very real issue of 'Living at the Limit'.

He poses a very interesting question – how can urban design and environmental activists extend their influence, knowledge and skills through the community, and posited the notion of 'urban discovery' through stories about the people and memory of urban districts. An established fact is that people do actually take every opportunity to get involved in community activities – for example the Instagram Group. I shall definitely be at 'Very Aberdeen Instagram gathering on 15th May' to see for myself. And finally Chris raised the sensible linkage between the Good Neighbour Scheme, the Local Community and the General Public .

I liked Chris's comments on the benefits of 'muddling through' – yes the best cities are not perfect – cities of monuments are not necessary cities for people.

In response Francisco Pinheiro also made a very interesting intervention talking about the evolution and changes in some of the excellent urban rehabilitation projects in Macau, and Jianxiang Huang talked about the transition of 'ideas to reality'. In Hong Kong we have an

almost unique high density urbanism of impermanence and reinvention that allows us to push forward in terms of a new urbanism.

Vincent Ng the Moderator likened this process to a Jigsaw Puzzle with different pieces, and brought to an end the morning session.

The afternoon Session changed course to **Sustainable Revitalisation**, and commenced with a presentation by Prof Zhu Wenyi from Tsinghua University.

As we know Beijing is a very large city even by international standards and there are many concealed, forgotten spaces, or what Prof Zhu called disadvantaged spaces. So place making becomes a means of identifying these spaces, their uses and their value to the city and community, through their various typologies and the way they act to change the nature of their localities.

Some of these such as cemeteries – a somewhat taboo subject, reminds me of Stephen Tang's work with ASD in completely re-shaping our approach to columbarium and crematoria in Hong Kong to both work better and to integrate them positively within urban districts. To successfully integrate such facilities within the community takes both skill and commitment, but to turn negative views into positive ones is a real mark of successful urban design.

Dr Stanley Yip rightly expressed the view that urban regeneration will be a key frontier for urban growth, as Chinese cities look on course to absorb perhaps up to 250 million people over the next 40 years. This necessarily implies a policy framework of low carbon urbanisation as well as trajectories towards emission control. Various examples of how this can be accomplished were set out.

This is I think really important when set against the massive urbanisation programme which is likely to amount to 70% over the next 20 years. So urbanisation is a major issue, particularly following the Paris Accord.

This can only be accomplished by either urban expansion or regeneration

- New urban growth boundaries;
- Ecological red line policy;
- Relocation of industry;
- Redevelopment of under-utilised properties.

Urban design plans in China are becoming part of statutory plans – this represents a

recalibration of cities as green low carbon entities, so this is a new way of looking at physical planning. This involves both mitigation and adaptation and the means to integrate this into the Development Control System.

I think Stanley's examples were excellent showing what can be done in meeting all the essential criteria – and acknowledges the changes that are built into the process – and achieving the C40 Climate Positive Framework.

Ian Brownlee took a more philosophical line, lamenting the conceptual mismatch between design ideas and the context for implementation. In particular the design of public spaces and places which have clear behavioural implications. Ian first discussed the Central Waterfront – I was the director of the very first study for Central / Wan Chai, and was later on the jury of a competition orchestrated by Design Hong Kong. I have to say I am not a great believer in urban design competitions for all the reasons we heard about earlier in the day, and our opportunities for really progressive waterfront urban design are being severely restricted and our constraints are becoming overwhelming. There are probably two sides to this – the first having to do with the nature of the problem, whether the 'Brief' is sufficiently responsive to this, and whether the 'design' is too finite and exclusionary; the second is a more familiar one related to Hong Kong's famous (or infamous) policy silos, where even reasonably innovative projects that could immeasurably improve both the public realm and its environmental framework – for example the pedestrianisation of Des Voeux Road, arouses little real enthusiasm or commitment from Government bodies.

Ian recalled the attempts by planning, environmental, traffic and urban design professional to pedestrianise Des Voeux Road Central. This commenced 15 years ago as a bright idea, and was reignited in 2013 under the auspices of HKIP. Many of us contributed to this on a pro bono basis. The proposal has been shown to be quite viable, but again our government system shows itself to be less than flexible in thinking 'outside the box' for the ultimate benefit of the day.

In the **Q+A John Ng, Mee Kam and Canny Ma** emphasised that sustainable revitalisation is about well-being – both physical and psychological : Canny mentioned the cultural and artistic side of urban design. Canny again mentioned bringing groups together to connect people to the city, reiterating some of the presentations from Chris and Martin's presentations. I liked John's question – how do we mobilise popular opinion to achieve rather than object to so many regeneration proposals. And I cannot disagree with Ian that Government's public consultation process is precisely designed to get us nowhere in terms of either decision making or creative design. We do fall into the trap of trying to sell design through 'final state' images, whereas most places come into being over many years as they mellow and often take on completely

different characteristics than might have been originally intended.

The final Session 3 was on **Place Making in the City**

Dr Kim Dovey discussed the transformation of Melbourne's urban waterfront from the 1990. This involved an all too familiar urban reconciliation between different forces that drove the project and dissolved the boundaries between architecture and planning, culture and commerce, and the various public interests involved. The lessons learned here are valuable as they raise obvious issues with regard to the long-term formulation of proposals for large-scale urban regeneration. I'm a firm believer that regeneration needs to commence with a small grain structure-preferably in the form of a street matrix.

Kim made a very interesting time run-through from the 1920s. I know Melbourne well, and Federation Square, mentioned by Kim, has certainly re-branded the city and the before/after photos really illustrate this.

The docks circa 1920 reminded me of London Dockland circa 1970. Market-led development on its own is always somewhat questionable, and we can see the obvious problems with regard to context.

Kim's 7 necessary Lessons for waterfront planning are well taken (and it is worth stating that we also have 7 Town Planning Objectives set by Government for the Hong Kong Harbourfront). The question is can we meet them?

Tai Lee Siang from Singapore, an ex President of the SIA and President of the Singapore Green Building Council, made an interesting plea not to leave the fate of cities in the battle against climate change entirely in the metaphorical hands of technology. Much as in our own situation in Hong Kong, the actions of citizens must contribute to a genuinely 'green' environment.

'Love the City' is a great slogan that John Lennon might well have thought up. But the reality is different – in asia most cities have had little time to consolidate before becoming inundated by the next wave of new immigrants arrivals. I cannot find any fault with the 12 'ingredients' for success set out by Tai Lee Siang, which nicely complement a similar diagram I was looking at the other day on 12 ingredients for a Smart City. And I loved the illustration of the circuit board – a mix of transmission lines and spaces – it looks exactly like a satellite photo of Houston.

'Love in the city' is of course a different matter – I'm not sure where we stand there - people in

Hong Kong unfortunately work such long hours they don't have much time for romance, and romantic restaurants are too expensive.

Finally **Duncan Pescod**, Chief Executive Officer of the West Kowloon Cultural District Authority discussed the creation of places through joining the 'hardware' with the 'software' which is a nice way of putting it. Duncan, I think very correctly, recognises three aspects of this:

- Strategic placemaking in terms of attractiveness of the city for both residents and visitors;
- Creative placemaking in embedding cultural aspects with vibrancy and diversity that is notably lacking around our harbourfront; and
- Tactical placemaking to enhance the quality of everyday life.

The underground servicing proposed as part of the plan was aimed at creating a real pedestrian realm on the surface. This arguably played a part in winning the competition for the Foster – Urbis team. It has however come up against many of the problematics we have been talking about today and has admittedly proved very costly to implement.

What are the magic ingredients that will go towards making West Kowloon a visitor destination. Duncan in right - the main objective must be to serve as a destination for visitors not just locals; and

And how do we develop all the good ideas for mixed use - not just 17 cultural buildings but entertainment, recreation, vibrancy and diversity. And I'm leaving out all the obvious things like connectivity. What we need is a truly integrative and self-sustaining development.

When we combine all these elusive ingredients we hope that West Kowloon will come to encapsulate what is so often the essential components of urban design – urban character, variety and diversity of both place and experience.

So in conclusion the Conference was successful in engaging some excellent contributors. It introduced many interesting points of debate and we had a great attendance that was, in the final instance, over-subscribed. All this adds up to some very perceptive presentations and discussions that have enormous value to urban designers – both practitioners and theorists. As Carrie Lam stated in her Conference Message, the transformation of cities around the world face huge challenges, in particular in ways to cope with climate change and in determining the capacity for growth.

I would like to thank all our speakers and participants, also our members for coming along, and

our sponsors for making it possible.

PETER COOKSON SMITH

22 APRIL 2016

Urban Design presentations by students at Chinese University Department of Architecture



Dr Peter Cookson Smith attended the Urban Design presentations by students at Chinese University Department of Architecture on 27th April 2016.

HKIUD Meetings Calendar (April)
四月會議

Date	Time	Meetings	Venue
6/4 (Wed)	6:30 pm	COC Meeting	Immediate Past President's Office
12/4 (Tue)	6:30 pm	Council Meeting	Immediate Past President's Office
27/4 (Wed)	6:30 pm	PAC Meeting	Vice President's Office

Upcoming Events and Meetings / 活動及會議預告

[Walk21HK] Harbourfront Forum on 19 May 2016



Energizing Kowloon East Office of Development Bureau and Civic Exchange would like to invite HKIUD members to the Walk21HK Public Forum on 19 May 2016. Details are as follows:

Date: 19 May 2016 (Thursday)

Time: 9:00 am – 12:40 pm

Venue: 122 Hoi Bun Road, Kwun Tong, Kowloon

For more details and registration to attend the forum, please visit their website:

http://walk21hk.com/news/detail.html?member_id=17

BEC EnviroSeries Conference



ENVIROSERIES

A Resilient and Low Carbon Hong Kong - Transforming Awareness into Actions

19 May 2016 | 9:30am - 5:00pm | JW Marriott Hong Kong

Business Environment Council ("BEC") would like to invite HKIUD members to the EnviroSeries Conference with the theme on: A Resilient and Low Carbon Hong Kong – Transforming Awareness into Actions that will be held on 19 May 2016 at JW Marriott Hong Kong.

HKIUD is one of the supporting organisation and members could enjoy a 10% off discount by entering the co-organizer code "beces2016c1" while filling in the online registration form.

For more details please refer to the Conference website:

<http://bec.org.hk/events-current/bec-enviroseries-conference-2016>.

Hong Kong International Airport “Design Your Airport Competition”



To engage the public in the future development of the airport, the Airport Authority launches the “Design Your Airport Competition”, inviting students, professionals and the general public to submit ideas for innovative yet practical facilities and services for the future passenger concourse.

The competition is now open for submissions till 20 May 2016.

You may also visit the [competition website](#) for more details.

Hong Kong Annual Conference 2016

RICS Hong Kong is organizing the Annual Conference 2016 with the theme “Unlocking the silver hair market – our future is now ” which will be held on 20 May 2016 (Friday) for which HKIUD is a supporting organisation. Details are as follows:

Date: 20 May 2016 (Friday)

Venue: Grand Hyatt Hong Kong, 1 Harbour Road, Wanchai

Time: 0900-1700

[More](#)

BEAM Affiliate Training in 2016

Organiser:



BEAM Affiliate Training and Examination Programme

Co-organiser:



A BEAM Affiliate is a person accredited by the Hong Kong Green Building Council Limited (HKGBC) as being competent to support green building design, construction and operations. The half-day training, organised by BSL, aims at equipping participants with knowledge in green building in the areas of design, construction, operation, maintenance, as well as the BEAM Plus rating tools.

The 5th BEAM Affiliate Training and Examination is now open for registration on a first-come-first-served basis.

Date: 26 May 2016 (Thursday)

Time: 9:15 am – 13:00 pm

Teaching Medium: Cantonese, supplemented with English

Venue: Function Room, 1/F, HKPC Building, 78 Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon Tong, Hong Kong

[More](#)

KODW 2016: “design. cities. health in the new economy” 13-17 June 2016 @ Hotel Icon



Organised by the Hong Kong Design Centre (HKDC), Knowledge of Design Week (KODW) has been entering the 11th year in a row. With the forum theme of “design. cities. health in the new economy”, over 20 local and international business and creative leaders are invited to share their experience; using real case studies to demonstrate how innovative services and product designs applied to public and private health care, rehabilitation, health services and digital healthcare products so as to enhance quality and efficiency, reduce production cost and strengthen more personalized service for patients and customers.

Date & Venue

13 - 17 June, 2016

Hotel Icon, 17 Science Museum Road, Tsim Sha Tsui, Kowloon, Hong Kong ([Show map](#))

Enquires

Please email kodw@hkdesigncentre.org.

Details

Please click [here](#) for more information about KODW 2016.

DFA Design for Asia Awards (DFAA) - Call for Application NOW


**DFA
Hong Kong
Young Design Talent Award
香港青年設計才俊獎
2016**


Hong Kong Design Centre
香港設計中心


DFA
AWARDS

**Call for
Application**
誠邀報名
20/4 – 28/6/2016

Online Application

enquiry@ydfa.hk
+852 3793 8447

[More](#)

HKIUD Meetings Calendar (May)
五月會議

Date	Time	Meetings	Venue
10/5 (Tue)	6:30 pm	Council Meeting	Immediate Past President's Office

Hong Kong Institute of Urban Design Limited

香港金鐘金鐘道89號力寶中心第二座22樓2207-9室 Suite 2207-9, 22/F, Tower Two Lippo Centre, 89 Queensway, Admiralty, Hong Kong
Tel: (852) 2530 8135 Fax: (852) 2530 8100 Web: www.hkiud.org Email: inq@hkiud.org